

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 5, 2005

LB 709

That is important because we have health-care providers, we have advocates or actual consumers, we have business representatives, we have insurers, and we have elected officials who will review the plan on a month-by-month basis to review the progress and to make comments on whether or not they feel the plan is going in an appropriate direction. I think that's reasonable, I think that is appropriate, and I think that is the type of measured step and input that we expect and we would demand of this process to ensure that it is properly and fairly reviewed. Those individuals would be compensated for their expenses; however, they would not receive compensation, obviously, for serving on a member of the task force...or the council. The reports would then also...at a future date, working with Senator Beutler, we will have an amendment that would clarify this further. The final report would also then have the opportunity to be reviewed by this entity and submitted prior to the deadline in the bill, and I think that's also important to ensure the consistency of the process. We're going to ask a group of individuals--maybe they're hospitals, maybe they are doctors, maybe it's an advocate, or maybe it's an actual consumer, maybe it's one of these businesses that we're talking about this morning, an insurer, or elected official, a county official, city official, maybe even a state official that would serve on this board or this advisory council--they would then also then have the opportunity to comment on any potential final plan. Discussing this in the Health Committee we came up with an idea that would require the Health Committee to have a public hearing, which is a different mechanism than public meetings or disclosures. It's a formal process that we're all aware of, to ensure that buy-in and to ensure that discussion. This, I believe, gets us even closer to the intent of what the Health Committee discussed, and so I'm pleased to bring that to you. The other change that is...the other two changes that are in the amendment before you is on line 27 of the committee amendment...excuse me, page 2, line 27 of the committee amendment. The word "shall" will be replaced with "may," as will be the word "shall" on line...on line 4 or, excuse me, page 4, line 20, of the committee amendment. So that "shall" in line 20, page 4, will be replaced with "may." And here's why. We have asked a group of individuals to be a part of this process, and when I say a group, it's all 1.7 million